

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

LEONARD WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 14-cv-506-JPG-PMF

DR. JAMES FENOGLIO, PHILLIPPE, DR. PHIL
MARTIN, STEPHINE REED, HALLINGWORTH,
LT. ROBERT BOLDREY, LT. VAUGHN, LT.
DALLAS, EUBANK, WILLIS, RHONDES, NURSE
POTTS, DOWNEY, CUMMINS, WARDEN HODGE
and JOHN DOE NURSE,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“Report”) (Doc. 44) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier recommending that the Court deny plaintiff Leonard Williams’ motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. 43).

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the Report. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the Report is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court:

- **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 44); and
- **DENIES without prejudice** Williams’ motions for a preliminary injunction (Doc. 43).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 10, 2014

s/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE